

## Remarks procedure PWCP II

### 1. Summary

Please send the remarks to Mr. Nagumanov by email or letter before July 20, 2008, preferably by email with a copy to the three jury members. (See email addresses below).

Before sending your remarks please study the Instruction for the Jury in particular concerning the articles 1.13 (figurants) and 2.1.1. (Resemblances).

When the remark is that a composition has a second solution, dual, interchangeable moves or does not win this remark is only accepted when proven by writing the relevant moves. Positions with not more than 6 pieces do not need to be proven, because you as well as the jury can apply a 6 piece end game database.

When the remark concerns resemblances the remark is only accepted when it is presented with diagrams (further explained below).

This procedure aims at efficient and correct processing of all the many remarks.

### 2. Final date for remarks and Preliminary result

Remarks will only be taken into account for calculating the preliminary result when received by Mr. Nagumanov before July 20, 2008.

Because of summer holidays the Preliminary result will not be published before September 1, 2008.

After the publication of the Preliminary result in principle only remarks leading to elimination or protests against elimination will be taken into account.

### 3. RI and Instruction for the jury

Eliminations should be based upon objective factors. These are mentioned in the RI. Before the announcement of the PWCP II the CPI decided to clarify the RI with a very few additions. These are explicitly mentioned in the Instruction for the jury published together with the announcement of the PWCP II. See elsewhere on this Internet site.

### 4. Completeness of remarks

Remarks like for instance that there is another solution that might be winning are not accepted. When a second solution or draw cannot be proven it is no relevant information.

Remarks about second solutions, dual, no win, etc. should contain a proof by giving such an alternative solution completely. As soon as the solution reaches a position of 6 pieces or less there is no need to further record the alternative solution.

In the case of interchangeable moves it is enough to give the solution till the moment of interchangeable moves arrives.

### 5. Resemblances

As explained in the RI and the Instruction for the jury a distinction is made between:

- Resemblances leading to elimination.
- Resemblances not leading to elimination that could be relevant for the scores of the individual jury members.

In all cases the resemblance will only be taken into account when presented on a diagram together with the date and source of publication and the name of the author.

In the case where the resemblance is not (nearly) identical as defined in the RI, two diagrams are required.

One diagram with the initial position of the resemblance and another diagram at the moment of the solution of the resemblance that is most similar to the participating composition.

## 6. Email addresses

A. Nagumanov: Nar@nvacenter-ru

J.CR. Bus: Jaapbus@t-online.de

N. Grushevski: Gruchevski@mail.ru

E. Zubov: evgrafzubov@iskra-net.ru